

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/584,232	05/31/2000	Daniel J. Greden	MCS-119-99	2434
27662	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
LYON & HARR, LLP			RHODE JR, ROBERT E	
300 ESPLANA OXNARD, C	ADE DRIVE, SUITE 800 A 93036	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3625	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/584,232	GREDEN ET AL.				
	F					
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rob Rhode	3625				

to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, both references disclose a need embodied in a method in order to more effectively match a buyer and agent/seller. Moreover, the applicant's arguments that the reference are not compatible and therefore can not be combined are not persuasive because each reference disclose the requirement to match a buyer and a seller/agent based on the sellers interaction with a website. With regard to applicant's arguments that Rizzo requires a manual response is not persuasive because the agent/recipient of the message is provided the identity of the potential buyer as noted in the Final Rejection.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13.
Other: ____

Affrey A. Smith Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050509